

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,794	07/14/2003	William E. Riha	03/057 NUT	7309	
75	590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER		
ProPat, L.L.C.			WONG, LESLIE A		
2912 Crosby Road Charlotte, NC 28211-2815			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1761	1761	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,794	RIHA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	i. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Febra	aury 22, 2006 and March 6, 2006					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 7-10 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine.	vn from consideration. relection requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/618,794

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simon et al in view of Calderas et al (US Patent No. 6294214) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action. The amendment to claim 1 and the new claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Simon et al disclose the combination of acesulfame K and high fructose corn syrup (HFCS), see entire document, especially Figure 11.

The claims differ as to the specific HFCS and the amounts.

Calderas et al disclose the conventional use of HFCS-42, HFCE-55, and HFCS-90 in combination with artificial or noncaloric sweeteners such as acesulfame (see entire patent, especially column 8, lines 42-65).

It is further noted that the claimed amounts, in the absence of a showing to the contrary, are deemed a matter of choice and at most optimization. It is conventional in the art to manipulate sweetener blends to obtain desired results.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the specifically claimed HFCS in that of Simon et al because the use and manipulation of HFCS in the sweetener art is conventional.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,794

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's arguments filed February 22, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention provides the sweetness and taste profile of HFCS 55, that the cited prior art generically cites the claimed components, and that hindsight reasoning was used.

Simon et al disclose the combination of acesulfame K and high fructose corn syrup (HFCS), see entire document, especially Figure 11.

Calderas et al are cited to teach the conventional use of HFCS- 42, HFCE-55, and HFCS-90 in combination with artificial or noncaloric sweeteners such as acesulfame in a beverage (see entire patent, especially column 8, lines 42-65).

The prior art clearly teaches the claimed components as conventional in the art.

It is conventional in the art to manipulate sweetener blends to obtain desired results having a specific taste profile. In the absence of a showing of unexpected results, the claimed components are used for no more than their art-recognized function to obtain no more than expected results.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a

Art Unit: 1761

reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong
Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1761

LAW May 10, 2006